1	MICHAEL C. HETEY, ESQ.	
2	Nevada Bar No. 5668 PHILIP GOODHART, ESQ.	
3	Nevada Bar NO. 5332	
4	THORNDAL ARMSTRONG DELK BALKENBUSH & EISINGER	
	1100 East Bridger Avenue Las Vegas, NV 89101-5315	
5	Tel.: (702) 366-0622	
6	Fax: (702) 366-0327 mch@thorndal.com	
7	png@thorndal.com	
8	Attorneys for Defendants, WERNER ENTERPRISES, INC. and NICOLAS FORCILLO	
	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
10	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
11	DARLENE ISAAC; and HAROLD ROLAND	
12	HOWMAN, JR.,	CASE NO. 2:19-CV-01452-KJD-DJA
13	Plaintiffs,	
14	T MINITIS,	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO WITHDRAW THE PARTIES'
15	VS.	MOTIONS IN LIMINE
16	NICOLAS FORCILLO, an individual,	(First Request)
17	WERNER ENTERPRISES, INC., a foreign corporation, DOES I through X, inclusive;	(First Request)
18	and/or ROE CORPORATIONS I through X,	
19	inclusive	
	Defendants.	
20	The Parties, Plaintiffs Darlene Isaac and Harold Roland Howman, Jr. ("Plaintiffs"), and	
21	Defendants Werner Enterprises, Inc. and Nicolas Forcillo ("Defendants"), by and through thei	
22	respective undersigned counsel of record, hereby stipulate to withdraw Defendants' Motions is	
23	Limine Nos. 1-18 and Plaintiffs' Motions in Limine Nos. 1-14, consistent with the stipulation t	
24		
25	continue trial and submit this case to binding arbitration. On 11/08/22 the Parties filed	
26	Stipulation to Continue Trial and Notice to the Court of Binding Arbitration [ECF No. 150]	
27	which included a statement the Parties intend to withdraw their respective Motions in Limine a	
28	a byproduct of the agreement to resolve the pen	ding litigation by Binding Arbitration [ECF No

1	150, Pg. 2:3-5]. That Stipulation was granted on 11/10/22 [ECF No. 152]. As such, the Partie
2	are submitting this current Stipulation withdrawing the aforementioned Motions in Limine. Th
3	Motions in Limine by Defendants and Plaintiffs which are stipulated to be withdrawn are listed
4	below as follows:

<u>Defendants Werner Enterprises, Inc. and Nicolas Forcillo filed the following</u> <u>Motions in Limine</u>:

- 1. Motion in Limine No. 1 to Strike and or Limit Opinions of Dr. Muir Relating to Plaintiff Harold Howman [ECF No. 112, filed 10/21/22];
- 2. Motion in Limine No. 2 to Strike and or Limit Opinions of Dr. Oliveri Relating to Plaintiff Darlene Isaac [ECF No. 108, filed 10/20/22];
- 3. Motion in Limine No. 3 to Strike and or Limit Opinions of Dr. Janda Relating to Plaintiff Darlene Isaac [ECF No. 113, filed 10/21/22];
- 4. Motion in Limine No. 4 to Strike or Limit the Opinions of Brian Jones Regarding the Accident Reconstruction, Accident Forces, and Biomechanical Opinions of Dr. Christopher Chen [ECF No. 109, filed 10/20/22];
- 5. Motion in Limine No. 5 to Strike and/or Limit Dr. Clauretie's Opinions Regarding Plaintiff Darlene Isaac's Costs and Future Loss of Household Services [ECF No. 114, filed 10/21/22];
- 6. Motion in Limine No. 6 to Limit or Exclude Multiple Opinions of Plaintiff Harold Howman's Vocational Rehabilitation Expert Delyn Porter [ECF No. 115, filed 10/21/22];
- 7. Motion in Limine No. 7 to Limit or Exclude Opinions of Plaintiff Darlene Isaac's Vocational Rehabilitation Expert Ira I. Spector [ECF No. 116, filed 10/27/22];
- 8. Motion in Limine No. 8 to Preclude or Limit the Opinions of Dr. Hogan [ECF No. 119, filed 10/27/22];
- 9. Motion in Limine No. 9 to Strike the Character Witnesses named by Plaintiffs in their FRCP 26 Disclosures [ECF No. 117, filed 10/27/22];
- 10. Motion in Limine No. 10 to Preclude Improper "Reptile" Theory Arguments that (1) Jury Should Apply "Safety Rules," (2) Defendants Failed to Take Responsibility for the

- Accident, and (3) Jury Should Act as Conscience of the Community [ECF No. 118, filed 1 2 10/27/22]; and, 11. Motion in Limine No. 11 to Preclude Plaintiffs' Treating Physicians from 3 Testifying to Future Care and Limiting Plaintiffs' Treating Physicians to Their Medical 4 Treatment in Their Records [ECF No. 120, filed 10/27/22]. 5 12. Motion in Limine No. 12 to Exclude Plaintiffs' Computer Animation [ECF No.] 6 124, filed 11/01/22]; 7 13. Motion in Limine No. 13 to Preclude the Testimony of Veronica Barnett [ECF] 8 No. 123, filed 11/01/22]; 9 14. Motion in Limine No. 14 to Preclude Testimony or Opinion That Any Optical 10 Condition of Plaintiff Darlene Isaac was Caused by the Subject Accident [ECF no. 126, filed] 11 11/01/22]; 12 15. Motion in Limine No. 15 to Preclude Evidence or Testimony Regarding Plaintiff 13 Harold Howman's Military History [ECF No. 127, filed 11/02/22]; 14 16. Motion in Limine No. 16 to Preclude Argument or Testimony Regarding 15 Intoxication as a Factor in the Accident [ECF No. 130, filed 11/02/22]; 16 17. 17
 - Motion in Limine No. 17 to Preclude Reference to Plaintiff Darlene Isaac's Social Security Administration Ruling [ECF No. 132, filed 11/04/22]; and,
 - 18. Motion in Limine No. 18 to Preclude Discussion of Any Workers Compensation Claim by Either Plaintiff Beyond the Potential Nevada Jury Instruction Contained in NRS 616C.215 [ECF No. 134, filed 11/04/22].

Plaintiffs Darlene Isaac and Harold Ronald Howman, Jr. filed the following **Motions in Limine:**

- 1. Motion in Limine No. 1 Regarding the Absence of Pre-Incident Medical Records [ECF No. 135, filed 11/07/22];
- 2. Motion in Limine No. 2 Preclude Comments and Queries About Hypothetical Medical Conditions [ECF No. 136, filed 11/07/22];

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

3. Motion in Limine No. 3 to Preclude Defendant from Making Low Impact 1 2 Arguments [ECF No. 137, filed 11/07/22]; 4. Motion in Limine No. 4 to Preclude Prior Arrests or Convictions [ECF No. 138, 3 filed 11/07/22]; 4 5. Motion in Limine No. 5 to Preclude Prior and Subsequent Unrelated Settlements 5 and/or Injuries [ECF No. 139, filed 11/07/22]; 6 6. Motion in Limine No. 6 to Preclude Reference to Employment Termination [ECF] 7 No. 140, filed 11/07/22]; 8 7. Motion in Limine No. 7 to Preclude Reference of Plaintiff's Narcotic Pain 9 Medication, Substance, Drug Use, and Abuse [ECF No. 141, filed 11/07/22]; 10 Motion in Limine No. 8 to Preclude Defense Experts from Commenting Upon 8. 11 Plaintiff's Credibility [ECF 142, filed 11/07/22]; 12 9. Motion in Limine No. 9 Exclude Evidence Regarding Plaintiff's Pre-Existing 13 Conditions or Injuries [ECF No. 143, filed 11/07/22]; 14 10. Motion in Limine No. 10 Limit Opinion of Dr. Dukarm [ECF No. 144, filed] 15 11/07/22]; 16 11. Motion in Limine No. 11 to Preclude Testimony Regarding Stopwatch Test [ECF] 17 No. 145, filed 11/07/22]; 18 12. Motion in Limine No. 12 to Preclude Introduction of Collateral Source 19 Information [ECF No. 146, filed 11/07/22]; 20 13. Motion in Limine No. 13 Limit Opinions of Dr. Simpson [ECF No. 148, filed 21 11/07/22]; and, 22 14. Motion in Limine No. 14 to Preclude Introduction of Evidence of Rebecca 23 Howman's Social Security Disability Benefits [ECF No. 147, filed 11/07/22]. 24 /// 25 /// 26 /// 27

///

28

Case 2:19-cv-01452-KJD-DJA Document 155 Filed 11/16/22 Page 5 of 5

1	The parties submit this Stipulation to Withdraw the Parties' Motions in Limine in good	
2	faith and not to delay this litigated proces	eding; and, ask this Honorable Court to grant this
3	Stipulation.	
4	Dated this 15th day of November 2022.	Dated this 15th day of November 2022.
5	H&P LAW	THORNDAL ARMSTRONG DELK
6	That Entity	BALKENBUSH & EISINGER
7	/s/ Marjorie L. Hauf, Esq.	/s/ Michael C. Hetey, Esq.
8	MARJORIE L. HAUF, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 8111	MICHAEL C. HETEY, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 5668
9	MATTHEW G. PFAU, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 11439	PHILIP GOODHART, ESQ. Nevada Bar NO. 5332
10	710 South 9 th Street	1100 East Bridger Avenue
11	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Attorneys for Plaintiffs,	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Attorneys for Defendants,
12	DARLENE ISAAC and HAROLD ROLAND HOWMAN, JR.	WERNER ENTERPRISES, INC. and NICOLAS FORCILLO
13	HAROLD ROLAND HOWMAN, JR.	NICOLAS FORCILLO
14		
15		
16 17		
18		<u>ORDER</u>
19	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
20		Lead
21		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		